	-фрисация по	princult(a)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/730,508	YAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Ildebrando	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application: After Final	
(1) Christina Ildebrando.	(3)	
(2) Joel Charlton.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Confreence ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a prief description:	int's representative)	
,		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte	n summary of the substance
Chust- and		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Intendew Including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 23 was amended to correct the dependency, placing the application in condition for allowance. Applicants representative agreed to the changes, to be made by a summer's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attacked ownering's amendment.